

### IMPETUS BY ASSASSINATION

These simple "Rules for Revolution" have never been changed; for the simple reason that it has never been necessary to change them. They worked in France in the late eighteenth century, and again in the mid nineteenth century. They worked in Russia. They worked in Germany under the Weimar Republic which produced National Socialism under Hitler. And those same rules are working in the United States today.

There have, of course, been refinements of the strategy of application of these rules. The revolutionaries of our day did not corrupt the young by getting them away from religion. They were more direct: they corrupted religion itself. That made it so much easier to corrupt the young.

When radio and television became "means of publicity," it became much easier—and faster—to accomplish the seven aims listed in these "Rules for Revolution."

But there remained "Rule C," which was difficult of accomplishment in the United States. For the very wise Founding Fathers wrote into this Nation's Constitution a proscription against the limitation of the citizens' right to have and bear arms. That second article of the Bill of Rights is well known to most American citizens—and the confiscation of privately owned arms has been a "puzzler" to revolutionary conspirators.

One would hesitate to state unequivocally that the assassination of a President of the United States, by a revolutionary using a private arm, was determined as the one way to create the emotional climate required in order to bring about "the registration of all firearms on some pretext, with a view to confiscating them and leaving the population helpless."

One would hesitate to say that this "pretext" was the planned murder of our President. But, it can be stated without risk of denial, that the assassination has become the pretext for a demand for the Federal registration of all firearms—and "with a view to confiscating them" at a later date.

The "publicity to promote civilian disarming" began immediately after the assassination of the late President. Drew Pearson was quick to pick up the theme that if the "Anfuso Bill" had not been defeated, our President might still live. Senator Dodd quickly added new amendments to his old bill regarding the registration of arms. The conservative U. S. News & World Report came up with a "Front Page of the Week" highlighting the new drive.

Regardless of the laws that may be written, an assassin will find the means to be an assassin. But, laws prohibiting law-abiding citizens from keeping and bearing arms makes it impossible for the law-abiders to uphold the law against the law-breakers!



Senator J. W. Fulbright

—author of the infamous memorandum to intimidate the U.S. Armed Forces, and prevent them from teaching troops the true nature of world communism, vigorously denied the existence of the ROSTOW PLAN.

Such a law punishes law-keepers, and aids law-breakers; such a law is made for the righteous man, not for the lawless!

But we're speaking of logic, and there is no such thing left in Washington, D. C. these days.

In this strange "Alice in Wonderland" atmosphere or looking-glass logic, it seems that men can be murdered in order to induce the passage of laws that rob the law-keeper of his freedoms and liberties; while the actual law-breakers are no longer punished, but treated for mental illness. It is in such a world of un-logic that we are told the Government has the right to lie whenever lying serves the public interest better than truth. It is in the context of that "right to lie" that every man who ever fired a rifle is expected to accept the statement that Lee Harvey Oswald "was a loner, assassinated Pres. Kennedy all by himself alone," even if three bulls-eyes in five seconds with the type of rifle used are physically impossible. Don't try to reason it out, is the Government attitude, just take our word for it. We who are accustomed to take God only on faith, are now told that we must deny God and take State on faith instead.

### U.S. "TREATY OUTLINE" —SURRENDER AT GENEVA

By S. R. Jones

The news that the United States Government had submitted on April 18, 1962, an **Outline of Basic Provisions of a Treaty on General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World** to the Committee on Disarmament at Geneva, Switzerland, came as a stunning shock to those Americans who found mention of it in their newspapers, and to most of the Members of the United States Congress. This proposed Treaty offers a plan to surrender American sovereignty and lay down the military strength of this Nation before the very enemy who has promised to bury us.

The United States Senate learned of the existence of the **Treaty Outline** when a news story from Geneva was placed in the **Congressional Record**. It stated,

The United States will submit to the Geneva Conference a plan calling for the elimination of national armies within nine years and their replacement by a United Nations force.

When the news broke, Senator Richard Russell, Chairman of the Senate Armed Service Committee, is reported to have said:

**This is the first time I have heard of such a suggestion by any official of the government. I shall oppose with all my strength any proposal which would disband the armed forces of the United States. We can talk all we please about various agreements, but the element which is maintaining peace and preventing a major war today is the armed might of the U.S.A., led by the Strategic Air Command.**

I do not believe that the people of the United States would tolerate any such thing as that for five minutes if made by any man in public life . . . It would put this country at the mercy of the Soviet Union.

Senator Strom Thurmond added his protest:

I could not agree with Senator Russell more in this eloquent and brief argument against disbanding our nuclear and conventional forces, in order to create a super armed force for the United Nations. The UN is now controlled by the neutralists, who, judging by their UN voting records, are in turn controlled by the Soviet Union.

This bitter indictment of the Geneva **Treaty Outline on Disarmament**, to say nothing of its existence, never reached the American people as a whole. The content of this Treaty would shock the average American to the depths of his soul.

In the name of peace, we would be asked to: Abolish West Point, Annapolis, and all



"War to the hilt between communism and capitalism is inevitable . . . To win we shall need the element of surprise. The bourgeois will have to be put to sleep. So we shall begin by launching the most spectacular peace movement on record. There will be electrifying overtures and unheard of concessions. The capitalistic countries, stupid and decadent, will rejoice to cooperate in their own destruction. They will leap at another chance to be friends. As soon as their guard is down, we shall smash them with our clenched fist."

Dimitry Z. Manuilsky (Jew), Presiding Officer U.N. Security Council 1949. From a speech before the Lenin School of Political Warfare, 1931.

other military institutions! Destroy the Strategic Air Command! Dispose of the Navy! Permit garrisoning of foreign troops on our soil to insure compliance with Treaty obligations! Divide the country into 20 zones for spot checking! Accept compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice! Nullify the Constitution by agreeing to enact legislation in support of the proposed Treaty "imposing legal obligations on individuals and organizations and providing appropriate penalties for their noncompliance."

The stated goal of the Treaty is, Construction of a United Nations Peace Force until it had sufficient armed forces and armaments so that no nation could challenge it.

These last are the most chilling words ever set forth by the government of a free people. No vanquished nation ever had harsher terms spelled out. It is proposed to give this enormous power to the United Nations and its agencies at the precise moment in history when once ardent supporters of the United Nations are adding their voices to those who have long held that organization suspect.

The proposal is subject to the interpretation that our Government does not seek victory in the cold war against communism and is, therefore, willing to settle for a potentially communist-dominated world government. The principal agencies of this body would be the International Court of Justice, the International Disarmament Organization, and the United Nations Peace Force—all with unchallengeable power.

Total disarmament and surrender to this body are to be accomplished in three stages of 3 years each, during which the United Nations and its various divisions named above would be progressively strengthened and the "parties to the Treaty," including the United States, systematically weakened.

### CONNALLY AMENDMENT

Attention is called to the fact that the second stage of the proposed disarmament treaty calls for acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, commonly called the World Court.

The International Court of Justice is the principal judicial organ of the United Nations. The United States does not now accept compulsory jurisdiction of the Court, which functions in accordance with its own